NAIRAS Model Predictions of Aircraft Radiation Exposure during the Halloween 2003 Storms

Christopher J. Mertens NASA/Langley

NAIRAS Team

- W. Kent Tobiska (Co-I), Space Environment Technologies, Pacific Palisades, CA
- Brian T. Kress (Co-I), Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH
- Michael Wiltberger (Co-I), NCAR High Altitude Observatory, Boulder, CO
- Stanley C. Solomon (Co-I), NCAR High Altitude Observatory, Boulder, CO
- David Bouwer (Collaborator), Space Environment Technologies, Pacific Palisades, CA
- Joe Kunches (Collaborator), NOAA Space Weather Prediction Center, Boulder, CO
- Barbara Grajewski (Collaborator), CDC/NIOSH, Cincinnati, OH
- Steve Blattnig (Collaborator), NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA
- Xiaojing Xu (Collaborator), SSAI, Inc., Hampton, VA
- John J. Murray (Collaborator), NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA

Outline

- Overview of the NAIRAS Model Concept
- Halloween 2003 Storm Case Study
- Summary and Conclusions

Nowcast of Atmospheric Ionizing Radiation for Aviation Safety (NAIRAS) Model



Analysis of Halloween 2003 Event

- Complexity of simultaneous processes
 - Largest geomagnetic storm of solar cycle 23
 - Forbush decreases
 - Ground Level Events (GLE)
 - Anisotropic SEP distribution
- Initial analysis
 - Case study to assess geomagnetic storm influences on radiation exposure
 - Compute SEP event-averaged flux and let geomagnetic effects vary in time
- Current analysis
 - Full time-dependent SEP radiation exposure
 - GCR component including Forbush decrease



SEP Fluence and Spectra

- GOES observes proton fluxes and we need the fluence and spectral characteristics of these events
- For the Halloween storms a single power-law did not work
 - Used a double power law spectrum as suggested by *Mewaldt*, 2003
 - Includes a corona and flare seed population
 - Require the power-law functions and first derivatives to be continuous at merge energy







Geomagnetic Shielding

- Severe geomagnetic storms suppresses geomagnetic shielding allowing SEPs access mid- latitudes.
 - Due primarily to a build up of the ring current
 - Shock arrival can also be significant
- Particles with rigidities below the a cutoff value cannot access that point in space
 - We compute these using the TS05 storm magnetic field model and a particle tracing codes
 - During the Halloween storms we find 1 and 0.5 GV suppression during main phase and shock arrival

Nowcast of Atmospheric Ionizing Radiation for Aviation Safety (NAIRAS) Model



HZETRN Dose Calculations



- High Z Transport code solves the linear Boltzmann equation including
 - Ion-Electron Scattering
 - Elastic nucleon scattering
 - Nuclear reactions

Nowcast of Atmospheric Ionizing Radiation for Aviation Safety (NAIRAS) Model



Effective Dose for Halloween Storm

- Using the all aspects of the SEP portion of NAIRAS we are able to calculate the effective dose at various altitudes and then include typical flight paths
 - We are also able to consider the role of the magnetic field model by varying which method is used to calculate the cutoff rigidity

Flight Path Comparison



LHR-JFK and ORD-ARN flight paths

- Significant differences because flight nears or crosses the open/closed field line boundary
- ORD-BJK flight path
 - Limited differences since both models include passage into polar cap
 - Significant dosage is seen in both cases

Summary of Total Dose Equivalent Effects

Neglect geomag effects underestimates dose by ~ factor 3

Flight Path	Dose Eq. T05S (mSv)	Dose Eq. T05Q (mSv)	Dose Eq. IGRF (mSv)	Dose Ratio T05S/IGRF	Dose Ratio T05S/T05Q	Dose Ratio T05Q/IGRF
JFK-LHR	0.063	0.030	0.024	2.62	2.10	1.25
ORD-ARN	0.155	0.104	0.078	1.99	1.49	1.33
ORD-PEK	0.210	0.195	0.160	1.31	1.08	1.22

IGRF underestimates geomag quiet condition by ~ 30%

SEP Variability

- We have recently completed runs which include the variability of SEP fluence
 - Note the levels seen during the storm exceed the typical GCR dose rates

Conclusions

- Programmatic
 - NAIRAS has adopted a terrestrial weather prediction paradigm to space weather generated radiation field
 - Year 1 work has been completed beginning year 2 including GCR effects
 - Prototype model completion expected in 2011
- Halloween 2003 SEP Case Study results
 - Atmospheric radiation exposure during event may have exceed
 22% of ICRP recommend prenatal limit for a typically polar route
 - Passengers and crew did not come close to approaching ICRP exposure limits
 - Neglecting time-dependent geomagnetic storm influences on cutoff rigidity may significantly underestimate exposure
 - IGRF field can result in underestimation of ~30% even with storm effects

Radiation Exposure Quantities Overview

- Unit of absorbed dose from particle R (D_R):
 - Unit: 1 Gray == 1 J/kg
- Equivalent Dose in Tissue (H_T):
 - Unit: Sievert = Gray $x w_R$
 - w_R: radiation weighting factor

$$H_T = \sum_R W_R \cdot D_R$$

- Effective Dose (E):
 - Unit: Sievert: Sievert X w_T
 - w_T: tissue weighting factor

$$E = \sum_{T} w_{T} \cdot H_{T}$$

- ICRP estimate:
 - 1 in 20,000 risk of fatal cancer per 1mSv dose (lifetime)