Solar and Galactic Sources of Precipitating Energetic Particles

Richard Mewaldt *California Institute of Technology*

> HEPPA 2 Workshop Boulder, CO October 7, 2009

Daily average >30 MeV proton intensity for solar cycle 23 [Ground level events (GLEs) are indicated]



Mewaldt et al. (2007a)

Data from GOES 8, 10, 11, and 12



Galactic Cosmic-Rays (GCRs) are accelerated by shock-waves from supernovae

Their integrated intensity varies by about a factor of 2-3 over the solar cycle

V ~ 10,000 km/s ~10⁵² ergs

Solar Energetic Particles (SEPs) are accelerated by flares and by shocks driven by Coronal Mass Ejections (CMEs)

Their intensity can increase by a million times in hours

V ~ 1500-2500 km/s ~10³² ergs

Outline

Introduction Solar Eruptions and SEPs

Flare and shock acceleration Composition and Energy Spectra CMEs and SEPs SEP access to the Upper Atmosphere

Galactic Cosmic Rays

Acceleration, access to the Heliosphere Composition and energy spectra Solar cycle variations Record–setting and long-term intensities



Sources of Data ACE, SOHO, STEREO, SAMPEX, RHESSI, NOAA/GOES With thanks to the ACE and STEREO teams at Caltech





The Sun accelerates high-energy particles in at least two different ways



Proton-Rich Long-Lived (Days) 60-180 Degrees Solar Longitude

~Coronal composition Shocks accelerate solar wind and suprathermal particles Impulsive Flare-Associated (Impulsive Event)



Electron-Rich Short-Lived (Hours) 30-45 Degrees Solar Longitude

Fe, ³He, and electron rich Active region material accelerated What is the role of shock geometry in particle acceleration? Simulations show that perpendicular shocks can accelerate particles faster, and to higher energy



SEP Energy Spectra - Role of Shock Geometry?



Mewaldt et al. (2007b); see also Tylka et al. (2005)

Example of a Ground-Level Event (GLE) January 20, 2005

Neutron-monitor data from McMurdo, Antarctica

UT on 20 January 2005



MeV/nucleon

Energy spectra from

ACE, and SAMPEX

Mewaldt et al., 2005b

University of Delaware

10⁴

Counting Rate (counts/sec)

03

10²

06:25 06:30

Composition Variability





The largest SEP events are due to CMEs with speeds of 1500-2500 km/s

CME apparent speeds for the top 50 SEP events of solar cycle 23

Large SEP events are associated with very massive, energetic CMEs



CME data from Gopalswamy 2006

How efficient are CME-driven shocks at accelerating energetic particles?



Apparently, it is not uncommon for ~10% of the CME kinetic energy to go into accelerated particles

All GLEs from solar cycle 23 appear to be double power-laws in energy



GLEs #13 - #16

Mewaldt et al. (2009b)

For vertically incident particles the minimum rigidity particle that can reach the upper atmosphere of Earth is given approximately by: $R_c = 14.5 \cos^4 \lambda$ GV, where R_c is called the geomagnetic cutoff rigidity (in GV) and λ is the geomagnetic latitude. The plot below is based on a more exact calculation.



Selesnick et al. (2007)

Event-Integrated GLE Proton Spectra Tylka & Dietrich (GLE Workshop 2009)

Integral Rigidity Spectra

J(>R) ~ Double power-law



R = Rigidity (momentum/charge in GV) R = (A/Ze)[E² + 2M_PE]^{1/2} E in GeV

Fit integrated rate at various neutron monitors versus their cutoff rigidity

Comparing Fits to Neutron Monitor and Spacecraft Data

The work by Tylka and Dietrich indicate that there is an additional spectral break at ~400 MeV



During the Halloween solar storms SAMPEX tracked the size of the polar caps by measuring the location geomagnetic cutoff of 8-15 MeV/nuc He (equivalent to 30-60 MeV protons) four times each orbit. As a result of the geomagnetic storms due to the Oct 28 and Oct 29 ICMEs the polar cap was often several times larger in area.



Leske et al. (2004)



Acceleration of interstellar material by supernova shock waves produces a power-law spectrum in momentum dJ/dP \approx P^{γ}, with index $\gamma \approx$ -(2+ δ)



In our cosmic-ray transport and solar-modulation model, the "source spectrum" that best fits the observations has $\gamma = -2.35$

At relativistic energies Pc ~ E, which gives a source spectrum of dJ/dE \approx E^{-2.35}

The difference between the source and observed spectrum $(dJ/dE \sim E^{-2.75})$ is attributed to higher energy cosmic rays leaking out of the Galaxy more easily



Cosmic-ray spectral variations over the solar cycle



"Solar modulation" changes GCR intensities at low energies by up to an order of magnitude between solar min and solar max.

Wiedenbeck et al. 2007

Cosmic-ray intensity variations are anti-correlated with solar activity

Cosmic-ray modulation is a complex process - it is usually parameterized by changes in the interplanetary diffusion coefficient (K) due to variations in the turbulence in the interplanetary magnetic field (Δ B/B).



Neutron monitor count rates at 3 and 13 GV cutoff rigidities

Note the 22-year periodicity

Usoskin

Cosmic Ray Elemental Composition



Cosmic rays include all of the elements in the periodic table thru Th and U

Mewaldt (1999)



Why now?• Weaker interplanetary magnetic fieldSee recent• Reduced solar wind dynamic pressure• Science@NASA• Prolonged solar minimumitem

The ¹⁰Be record in ice cores shows that over the past 600 years the cosmic-ray intensity has been 1.5 - 2.5 times as great as during the space era.



Summary of Key Properties

Property	SEPs	GCRs
Energy Range (MeV)	0.1 to ~10,000	1 to >10 ¹⁴
Spectral Slope	-1 to -5	-2.75
Intensity variation	~10 ⁵	~3 (over space era) ~5 (over last 500 yr)
Sunspot relation	correlated	Anti-correlated
Max intensity >10 MeV (per cm²sr-s-MeV)	~5 x 10⁴	~0.5
Energy Content (%)		
Н	75 ± 5	~70
Не	15 ± 5	~22
Z>2	5 ± 4	~7
Solar Electrons	5 ± 3	~1

Extra Slides



Spectral slopes above and below the break energy are indicated

Nominal GCR fluences are also shown. The expected GCR fluences have been subtracted from the plotted points





Measured and fit spectra for GLE events #9 - #12

Mewaldt et al. (2009b)

References

- N. Gopalswamy, J. Astrophys. Astron., 27, 243 (2006).
- K. G. McCracken, et al., JGR, 109 A12103, doi:10.1029/2004JA01085 (2004).
- R. A. Leske, R. A. Mewaldt, et al., J. Geophys. Res., 110, doi:10.1029/2005JA011038 (2005a).
- R. A. Mewaldt et al., Proc. 29th Internat. Cosmic Ray Conf. 1, 111 (2005b)
- R. A. Mewaldt, et al., in *Turbulence and Nonlinear Processes in Astrophysical Plasmas*, D. Shaikh and G. P. Zank, eds., AIP Conf. Proc. #932, AIP (2007), pp. 277-282.
- R. A. Mewaldt et al. in Solar Eruptions and Energetic Particles, AGU Monograph Series, p. 115 (2006).
- R. A. Mewaldt et al., Proc 31st Internat Cosmic Ray Conf., Paper 1225 (2009a)
- R. A. Mewaldt et al., Proc 31st Internat Cosmic Ray Conf. Paper 783 (2009b)
- R. A. Mewaldt (2009c), see http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2009/29sep_cosmicrays.htm
- R. S. Selesnick, M. D. Looper, & R. A. Mewaldt, *Space Weather* 5, SO4003, doi:10.1029/2006W000275 (2007).
- A. J. Tylka, et al., Astrophysical Journal 625, 474-495 (2005).
- M. E. Wiedenbeck et al., Space Science Reviews, 130, 415, doi:10.1007/s11214-007-9198-y (2007).