GEOENGINEERING SCENARIOS FOR Climate Assessment and Policy: Experience, Insights, Prospects

## NCAR CCIS WEBINAR

MAY 6, 2020

### Edward A. (Ted) Parson

EMMETT INSTITUTE ON CLIMATE CHANGE AND THE ENVIRONMENT, UCLA SCHOOL OF LAW

### Scenario Basics:

- Scenarios represent future uncertainties to inform near-term decisions
- Scenarios represent conditions ...
  - Needed for the exercise at hand (model, assessment, decision support);
  - External to it (exogenous, boundary conditions): Stipulated, not calculated
  - Deep uncertainty: Precise, closed-form estimates not available (not even subjective pdfs)
- Blend knowledge, judgment, speculation: not "Scientific," not "Objective"
- What gets included in scenarios? Come in groups (usually) that ...
  - Span range judged plausible ...
  - On most important uncertainties for decisions at issue ...
  - Judged by scenario users (decision-makers or their proxies) and creators
- "Not predictions:" Correct, but misleading.
  - Threshold judgment: Likely enough, given stakes, to warrant analysis and attention
- Representation ≠ Endorsement: Scenarios should include challenging futures
  - Exception: Normative "Back-casting" scenarios (Robinson)

### **Climate Scenarios: Distinct challenges**

- Vast audience, no single decision or decision-maker
  - Confounds uncertainties and decisions: Your decision is my uncertainty
  - No basis to define boundaries of relevance: Temptation to throw in everything
  - Ongoing tension: Qualitative/narrative (honored then ignored) vs. Quantitative
  - Complex structure and process: Coordination/consistency vs. Bottom-up diversity
- Scenarios have high stakes, become political objects:
  - Clear implications for human welfare, course of action (E.g., RCP 8.5 vs. 2.6)
  - Contested, easy to attack, hard to defend
  - Response: Move scenarios outside ass'ts, neg'ns Deniability, take that fight outside ...
- Historical landmarks in Climate Change Scenarios:
  - First need: Quantitative emissions scenarios as climate-model inputs
  - IS92 scenarios (Leggett et al 1992)
  - SRES scenarios (Nakicenovic and Swart, IPCC 2000)
  - Need: 1) Faster production for GCM/ESM inputs; 2) Richer specification for IAV, policy studies
  - New framework: RCPs, SSPs, SPAs (Moss et al, van Vuuren et al, O'Neill et al)

### **Climate Intervention Scenarios: The Story thus far**

- GeoMIP: Model comparison with specified solar geo forcings
  - RCP baselines plus quantitative intervention trajectories
  - Aim (like early climate/GCM scenarios): Big push, strong signal/noise
  - Scenarios don't aim for policy realism
  - But ... early results cited (incorrectly) as damning solar geo
- Stand-alone studies: Quantitative policy-relevant scenarios
  - Stipulate incremental or constrained deployment
  - Or calculate deployment in optimizing model (Napkin diagram, DICE)
- Narrative/qualitative scenario exercises
  - Posit international deployment challenges
  - Explore and stress-test governance responses
  - Aim to bootstrap early steps to develop governance capacity

### **Climate Intervention Scenarios: Highlights thus far**

- GeoMIP: Model comparison with specified solar geo forcings
  - RCP baselines plus quantitative intervention trajectories
  - Aim (like early climate/GCM scenarios): Big push, strong signal/noise
  - Scenarios don't aim for policy realism
  - But ... early results cited (incorrectly) as damning solar geo
- Stand-alone studies: Quantitative policy-relevant scenarios
  - Stipulate incremental or constrained deployment
  - Or calculate deployment in optimizing model (Napkin diagram, DICE)
- Narrative/qualitative scenario exercises
  - Posit international deployment challenges
  - Explore and stress-test governance responses
  - Aim to bootstrap early steps to develop governance capacity

### **CI Scenarios for Governance Explorations**

- Presume: Future deployment-related challenges (some form) likely
- No preparation, consultation re governance underway
- Structure of scenario exercises:
  - Stipulate specific challenge or crisis
  - Develop and critique governance response
  - Critique, iterate, refine Seek insights relevant to near-term decisions
- Qualitative/narrative, 1 2 discrete time points, no modeling
- Similar to classic political-military exercises, crisis simulations
- Aims: expand thinking, ID plausible risks/opportunities/strategies

### **Banff Summer School 2019 Governance Scenarios**

- 2040: Limited mitigation progress, 2.2°C, severe impacts
- 4 scenarios, 2 groups of ~ 8 people on each, 5 hours over 3 days
- Structure:
  - Challenge scenario Group has designated role, task, superior
  - Response to challenge (incl. Governance)
  - Stress test (presented by counterpart group)
  - Response, synthesis, report out
- Challenge Scenarios:
  - The Middle Powers Roar
  - Vulnerable States Demand, and Act
  - Grassroots Decentralized Deployment
  - The Private Sector to the Rescue?

### **Climate Intervention Scenarios: Questions, Next steps**

- Governance studies, narrative challenge scenarios What next?
- Quantitative intervention trajectories in headline scenarios? How?
- Integrate narrative and quantitative scenarios to what end?
- Assess and enable the "Napkin diagram:" How can scenarios help?

#### References:

- Banerjee, B., Collins, G., Low, S. & Blackstock, J. *Scenario Planning for Solar Radiation Management*. https://www.cigionline.org/publications/scenario-planning-solar-radiation-management (2013).
- Belaia, M. Optimal Climate Strategy with Mitigation, CDR, and Solar Geoengineering. ArXiv190302043 Econ Q-Fin (2019).
- Boettcher, M., Gabriel, J. & Low, S. Solar Radiation Management: Foresight for Governance. https://www.iasspotsdam.de/en/output/publications/2016/solar-radiation-management-foresight-governance-project-report (2016).

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Special report on emissions scenarios. (Cambridge University Press, 2000).

- Kravitz, B. et al. The Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (GeoMIP6): Simulation design and preliminary results. Geosci. Model Dev. 8, 3379–3392 (2015).
- Leggett, J. et al. Emissions scenarios for the IPCC: an update. Clim. Change 69–95 (1992).

Moss, R. et al. Towards New Scenarios for Analysis of Emissions, Climate Change, Impacts, Response Strategies. (2008).

O' Neill, B. C. et al. The Scenario Model Intercomparison Project for CMIP6. Geosci. Model Dev. 9, 3461–3482 (2016).

Parson, E.A., "Useful Global Change Scenarios," *Environmental Research Letters* 3:4, 045016 (Oct – Dec 2008).

- Parson, E.A, and M.G.Morgan, "Socioeconomic Context for Climate Impact Assessment", Chapter 3, pp. 93-107, in *Climate Change Impacts on the United States*. National Assessment Synthesis Team, USGCRP Cambridge U Press. 2001
- Parson, E.A., V. Burkett, K. Fisher-Vanden, D. Keith, L. Mearns, H. Pitcher, C. Rosenzweig, M. Webster, *Global-Change Scenarios: Their Development and Use*. Assessment Product 2.1b, US Climate Change Science Program, 2007.

Robinson, J. Future subjunctive: back-casting as social learning. Futures 35, 839–856 (2003).

Sugiyama, M. et al, Next steps in geoengineering scenario research: limited deployment scenarios and beyond. Clim. Policy 18, 681–689 (2018).

van Vuuren, D. P. et al. The Representative Concentration Pathways: An Overview, Clim, Change 109, 5–31 (2011).

# QUESTIONS, DISCUSSION ...

PARSON@LAW.UCLA.EDU